G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1901
~~191~~ .
(Madras)

File No. 161

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re grant of certain stones of stone pillars in the Sugriva & Paravasu devar temples in the Gundlepet Taluk for the construction of the Sri Parakala Matt bund at

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Mysore Department

Note for orders

Correspondence ending with
Subject :- Letter no 279
dated 15th March 1902,
from the Dy Commissioner,
Mysore District, soliciting
sanction to the grant
of certain stone and
stone pillars of the
Gopuram and the Para-
-vasudevara Temples in
the Kyatanhalli Taluk,
for the construction of
the Sri Parakala mutt
at Mysore :-

The Deputy Commissioner

in his letter no 1198 dated

28th may 1904, stated that

the Salaries Controller in

his D.O. of the 9th march 1904,

requested permission to

take some carved pillars (30)

and stones of the marginally-

noted 2 ruined temples

in the Kanchipuram-Taluk

for the use of the Sri

Parthasarathyswami Matt

at Triplicane, which was under

construction, and in

recommending the grant-

of the stones and pillars

in question, he reported that-

Triplicane at Kanchipuram

Sankaravaradaperumal Temple at Kanchipuram

that he inspected the
two temples, and that
in the Sungaravva temple no
puja was being done; and
that the building was
all in ruins and that
the villagers had no objection
to the stones being removed
and used elsewhere; As
regards the Parvatavardhana
temple, the Dy Commr reported
that owing to its situation
in a jungle, puja had
ceased to be performed
to the idol, which was
consequently removed
and placed in the temple
of Sri Vijayanarayana
-swami at Gundlupet

that stones forming

a portion of the temple

had already been sold

at the rate of Rs 15 per cubic yard

and that there was no

objection to the remaining

stones being utilized

for the repair

2. With reference to the above

the Dy Comr was asked * to report whether the two temples were mosques or Hindu and what the probable cost of the pillars was -

* In this Office Docket no 1320 dated 15th June 1901.

3. In reply, the Dy Comr, in his present communication no 279 dated 15th March 1902, states that the Sangameswara Temple is not a mosque

Murugan Institution being endowed with neither Cash nor Land Income, and that the Paramasundara- -swamy Temple enjoys a Land ground of Rs 11-8-7 per month -

4. He further reports that there are only 4 pillars in the Sungavana temple (which is a non-Murugan Institution), the cost of which is estimated by the Dy Commr at Rs 25 each against the Villagers valuation of Rs 150 each - (The Murugan Department has no Control

over his temple -). In the
"Kapali" mantra of the
Swarnakarshana temple,
it is reported that there
are only 4 curved pillars
and that the Vidyaranya
people of Kumbhakonam are
against hair removal.
The Dy Commr therefore
does not propose to interfere
with the mantra in question
against the wishes of the
people -
5. So, there are only
4 pillars that can be
spared from the Sangeeva
temple (which is a non-
hereditary Trust), while
the

Palace Controller has requisitioned for about 30 pillows. The Dy Comrs may be asked to address the Palace Controller explaining the circumstances.

6. As regards the stones of the Saravasa-dwara temple reported to have been already sold and the sale-proceeds thereof credited to the Surplus Funds, the Dy Comrs may be requested to furnish details on the following points.-

Homecoming Arrangements

were sold in 1885-86

at Rs 15 per ankaram

ii Was the amount credited

to the funds of the Trustee

or to the surplus of funds

of the District and where

was it ?

iii Where was the idol

in this temple removed

to the Vijayaraghavaperumal

temple at Kanchipuram and

under what orders ?

iv Are all the servants

now working in the latter

temple ?

Submitted

Ks
22/4/or

See Noted on
the margin of
the Note of
29/4/8

1900/901



From

The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 28th } May 1901
Despatched }
Received }

Enclosures No. One file

General No. 1198

Soliciting Sanction re- the grant of the stones of carved pillars of Sugreeva and Para-Vasudeva Temples in the Gundlupet Taluk for the use of the Parakalaswami Math at Nugnu.-

Submitted for orders

Ks
1/6/01 Get full information as to the Jasdeka of the 2 temples and what is being done with them.
Ask for the probable cost of the 30 carved pillars asked for Ks 1/6/01

Myzra Dept
1/6/01

Vide no 1320 dt/15/6/01

No. 1198

Enclosures No. One file -

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 28th May 1901.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I Sugreeva temple at Siranamudi
2. Parvaramma temple at Cuvekere
Gundlepet

I have the honor to state that the Palace Comptroller in his D. O. letter of the 9th march 1901 requested permission to take some carved pillars and stones of the marginally noted ruined temples in the Gundlepet Taluk for the use of the work which the Palace is now building at Mysore for the Porakalaswami.

2. The Amildar of Gundlepet Taluk reports that the Sugreeva temple at Veeranambi is all in ruins, so much so that the whole building is likely to come down within a short time, that no pooja is being done to the idol placed in the temple and that the villagers have no objection to the stones

thereof, being removed and used elsewhere.

3. As regards Parthasarathy temple at Gundlepete, the Amildar states, that, owing to its situation in a jungle, pooja had ceased to be performed to the idol which was consequently removed and placed in the temple of Sri Vijayanarayanaswami at Gundlepete Kasaba, that stones forming a portion of the temple have already been sold by the Muzrai department at the rate of 15 ₹ per ankanam and the proceeds credited to surplus funds and that there is no objection to the remaining stones being utilized for the math, the temple being in a very dilapidated condition.

4. I have inspected both the temples referred to, and under the circumstances reported by the Amildar, I solicit Government orders regarding the grant of the Stones and Carved pillars in question to the Parakal math

The V[illegible]cular papers received with

with the Special Sub Division officers
Gaol 1st 624, dated 2nd April 1901, are
herewith submitted for reference and obliging
return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H. Cunningham
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Moore District

Tully

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1901

From

To

The Deputy Comr
Mysore District.

Dated 15th June 1901

Despatched 15th

Received

General No. 1320

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1/1

His letter No. 1198, dy 28th May 1901, soliciting sanction to the grant of the stones and pillars of the Sugreeva and Paravasu-devaru temples in Gundlupet Taluk for the construction of the Parakala matt at Mysore.

With refce to the above has the honor to enquire whether the two temples of Sugreeva and Paravasu-devaru have been endowed with any Cash or land inam, and if so, whether

they are still continued
to them.

2. From the Palace of the 17th March 1901, Controller's D. O., to the Dy Comm.r, it is observed that 30 carved pillars were requisitioned towards the construction of the matt. The Dy Comm.r is now requested to report the probable cost of the 30 pillars asked for.

3. The enclosures to this letter under reply are herewith ret.d for ref.ce and re-submission together with the information called for in the above.

By order

13/6/07

Dy Secretary

ks

1902
~~1899~~

From H. Ramanujia Iyengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A
Deputy Secretary to Govt
Miscl Dept

Dated 15
Despatched } March 1902
Received

General No. 279
No.

Enclosure No. one file of V.P.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1320 of the 15th June 1901 enquiring whether the Sugriva and Paravasudevaroo temples in the Gundlupett Taluk have been endowed with cash or inam land, I have the honor to state that the former temple is not endowed with either, while the latter enjoys a monthly Jaadik of Rs 11.8.7 (Rs 9-3-4 for pay of establishment and Rs 2.5.3 for padikara).

2. In his O.O. of the 4th

V.de 1770 dd 9/5/02

March 1901, the Palace Controller has requisitioned for some 30 carved pillars of the temples in question

3 The Gundlupett Amildar reports that there are 4 carved pillars in Sugreeva's temple in Terakanambi and that the Villagers are willing to spare them, the sale proceeds being utilized in restoring the old temple They estimate the pillars at Rs. 150/- each while the Amildar values them at Rs. 25/- Each. The latter Valuation may be accepted.

4 In the "Kaisale mantap" attached to the Paravasudevara temple situated in Kasba Gundlupett there are also 4 carved pillars. The people of Gundlupett are against this removal as Diparadhane and mantapôtsava are performed in the mantapa every year during Dassara and Sankranti. Apparently Amildar Guru Rao did not consult the Villagers when he reported that there was no objection to the Stones of the temple being utilized for the matt. In this connection a reference may be kindly made to the extracts from correspondence of 1885-86 between the Taluk and the Inam Office which will be found among the records herewith submitted. It is not proposed to interfere with the mantap in question against the wishes of the people interested.

The Vernacular file of papers bearing on the subject accompany.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner Mysore

was the idol removed in this temple to the Vijayanarayana Swami temple at Gundlepet? under what authority?

Are all the servants working in the temple?

Enclosures returned.

By order

9/5/02

Dfl.

Dy Secretary
Mur Departt

Ks

D1

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Dr/Collr Mysore

Dated 9th May 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 1770

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file VP

His letter no. 279 Dy 15th March 1902, regarding the grant of stone pillars of the Sugreev and Parevasudevarin temples in Gundlepet Taluk for the Construction of the Parakala Matt at Mysore

— " —

In the above the Dy Collr reports that there are only 4 pillars in the Sugreeva temple which

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

From the same no 215 D/23.2.03

s a non-Nuzvai Institution
tal the Kysala mantap
f the Parnavadevaram
emple has only 4 carved
illars, and the people
f Gundlepet are against
their removal.
2. The Dy Comr. is
requested to address the
Palace Controller, in reply,
to his D.O. of the 9th March
1901, explaining the circs.
3 As regards the stones
of the Parnavadevaram
mple, reported to have
been already sold, the
Dy Comr. is requested to
furnish details on the
following points:—
1. How many ankanams
were sold in 1885-86 &
t what cost?
Was the sale proceeds
redited to the funds of the
Institution or to the Dt.
Nuzvai Surplus Funds and so
when?



1902-1903

~~189~~

From S. K. Venkatanarasimha Raja Sahib
Deputy Commissioner of the Nilgiris District

To L. Ananthasami Rao Sahib B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore Finance Department

Dated

Despatched 23rd February 1903

Received

General No. 215

No.

Enclosure No. Encl. to No. 1749

Reporting on the general points in the sale of the stores of the temple at Gundlepet

26/2/03

Vide No 1749 dy 2.3.03

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
26.2.03
670

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department

Dated Mysore 2nd February 1903.

From

B. K. Venkatavaradaiyngar,
Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao, Esquire, B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
in the Muzrai Department

Sir,

Adverting to para 3 of your docket No 1770 dated the 9th May 1902 regarding the sale of the stones of the temple dismantled, I have the honor to report on the several points raised therein as follows.—

Five Anikannus were sold in 885—886 for Rs 75 at the rate of Rs 5 per anikannu.

2, This amount, the Amildar reports,

was

was credited to Revenue Deposits on the 18th August 1886 and no reference is said to be forthcoming as to what head it was afterwards credited in the accounts.

(3) These idols were removed to the Vijaya Narayana swami temple at Gundlepet in the month of April 1889 as per orders issued from this office to the Amildar (vide order No. 1 dated the 10th April 1881.).

(4) All the servants are now working at the Vijaya Narayana swami temple.

2. The Vernacular correspondence ending with the Gundlepet Amildar's report No. 39 dated the 26th June 1902 is herewith submitted for perusal and kind return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. Krishna

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore District

Dated 21st ~~February~~ March 1903

No 1749 En 1 file MP

His Letter no 215

dated 23rd February 1903,

regarding the ground of

certain stone-pillars for

the construction of the

Sri Parakala mutt at

Mysore —

Has the honour to

enquire whether as desired

in the ~~first~~ second para of his

Office Docket no 1770 dated

9th May 1902, the Sale

Controller was in

of the arrangements in

granting the fittings in

question —

2. From the letter under

reply, it is observed

that a sum of Rs 75, being

the cost of 5 Ankanams of

the stone mantab belonging

to the Sri Parthasarathiswami

Temple was credited to

the Revenue Deposits in

August 1886 — As the

amount properly belongs to
it will have to be reimbursed to the Mirasi
the temple, The Dy Comm Dept
accordingly
is requested to submit
a
a reformed bill for the

amount in proper form

explaining the circumstances

fully —

Encs returned

3 Bill

Dy Comm 25/2/43